



YEAR OF BIRTH            1957  
YEAR OF CALL            1982  
INN                         Gray's Inn  
CIRCUIT                    South Eastern

#### PROFILE

Cited as a leader at the bar in *Chambers and Partners*, in which he is described as a "smooth and persuasive advocate", Ian is a specialist criminal defence advocate with wide experience in cases which cover the full spectrum of criminal law and particularly those considered to be of a complex and serious nature.

His areas of practice include terrorism, murder, manslaughter, armed robberies and drugs as well as VAT frauds, serious fraud and money laundering. Amongst his notable back catalogue of cases are many which attracted significant media interest such as the Mardi Gras Bombers, Maria Thérèse Kouao [Victoria Climbié case] and the Charlton Athletic Football Club sabotage case. He is a highly regarded advocate who brings to his client a wealth of expertise.

He is on the Attorney-General's approved list of Special Counsel and as such appears in cases before the Special Immigration Appeals Commission.

#### NOTABLE CASES

Case Name:                *R v McCabe*  
Appearance:              Defence  
Description:                G was accused of being involved in the smuggling of over 23kgs of cocaine at 100% purity, with a street value of between £1,600,000 and £5,000,000. Following a trial at Canterbury Crown Court he was acquitted of all charges. Concluded October 2009.

Case Name:                R v. Fullerton.  
Appearance:              Defence  
Description:                F charged with attempted murder. He allegedly blocked the keyhole of the victim's house. When she couldn't gain entrance he attacked her stabbing her to the head using a large knife. F's defence was that they had argued and fought in a club and that she had attacked him at the house. F was acquitted. Concluded September 2009

Case Name: *R v Moodley*  
Appearance: Defence  
Description: M was accused of defrauding charities that he had established and run for a number of years. Following careful preparation the Crown were persuaded to discontinue. Concluded July 2009.

Case Name: *R v Milenkovitch*  
Appearance: Defence, leading junior  
Description: M was said to be part of a conspiracy to smuggle tobacco, from Italy into England. The case depended partly upon overseas telephone material and extensive evidence of observations. Concluded July 2009.

Case Name: *R v Atwell*  
Appearance: Junior counsel  
Description: A was part of a street gang that had travelled through East London, looking for other gang members. Upon sighting one, a fight developed during which a wholly innocent 14 year old was killed. A was the principal defendant. Concluded June 2009.

Case Name: *R v Ajani*  
Appearance: Defence Leading Junior  
Description: A was involved in a large scale disturbance outside a college of further education. Several people received serious stab wounds and were lucky to survive. A was the only defendant to avoid an immediate custodial sentence. Concluded March 2009.

Case Name: *R v Joyce*  
Appearance: Defence  
Description: J was convicted of murder. Ian Jobling instructed to advise and draft grounds of appeal.

Case Name: *R v Duchesne*  
Appearance: Defence  
Description: A high cost case. D was the main and most important defendant in a multi handed fraud that spread throughout Europe. The fraud netted millions of pounds and received considerable publicity as a result of D's extravagant lifestyle. Concluded January 2009. Confiscation proceedings are ongoing.

Case Name: *R v Hynds*  
Appearance: Defence  
Description: H was accused of manslaughter. Following a drug and alcohol fuelled afternoon, he and another had escorted the victim from the premises where he was killed by H. Concluded January 2009.

Case Name: *R v Davies*  
Appearance: Defence leading junior  
Description: D, the sole defendant, was accused of kidnap, torture and grievous bodily harm with intent. He together with others had abducted the victim off the streets. The victim was thought to have let an armed gang into a block of flats where D's parents lived. D's parents were the victims of an aggravated burglary, with the use of firearms. This was a revenge attack and kidnap. The victim was tortured and left for dead naked in a field in Derbyshire. Concluded November 2008.

Case Name: *R v Wicks*  
Appearance: Defence leading junior  
Description: W was part of a group of individuals who sexually assaulted his children over a number of years. He was the principal defendant. The charges were of the grossest sexual behaviour with young children under the age of 11. All children of the family, male and female, were victims. The matter had already been subject to proceedings where the Crown had offered no evidence following legal argument. Concluded November 2008. These proceedings are now subject to appeal.

Case Name: *R v Goudie*  
Appearance: Defence leading junior  
Description: G was part of a gang responsible for the largest ever haul of amphetamines. There was a cut throat defence. G was acquitted. Concluded 2008.

Case Name: *R v Miazga*  
Appearance: Defence leading junior  
Description: Operation Brindle. M was the sole defendant in the double murder of 2 Polish brothers. Following an afternoon drinking during a festival in Luton, the two brothers were beaten to death in their home. Concluded 2008.

Case Name: *R v Briscoe*  
Appearance: Defence  
Description: B was a private investigator who was accused of being a trial fixer. He would contact prosecution witnesses and also concoct defences and find people willing to provide false information and evidence. He was acquitted.

Case name: *R v Royle*  
Appearance: Defence leading junior  
Description: Operation Deliver. The Securitas robbery. Royle was one of the main defendants said to be involved in the planning and execution of the kidnapping of the manager of the Securitas depot and his wife and son and the subsequent raid on the Securitas depot.

Case Name: *R v Whittaker*  
Appearance: Defence leading junior  
Description: A corruption case involving a serving police officer and officials from the London Borough of Southwark. W alleged to have been the link between the council and illegal street traders operating in the South Bank area. W was acquitted.

Case Name: *R v Anywanu*  
Appearance: Defence leading junior  
Description: A was accused of the murder of a frail old lady in her flat in a Tower Block. He was found to be insane and subject to a hospital order. Subsequently he was represented again once he had recovered sufficiently to be able to give instructions and stand trial. Charged with murder again the prosecution were persuaded to accept a plea to manslaughter.

Case Name: *R v Monfared Ghanbari*  
Appearance: Defence leading junior  
Description: M was a main player in the importation of huge quantities of opium into the United Kingdom. At the time it was the largest ever importation of opium into the UK.

Case Name: *R v Thirukamarian*  
Appearance: Defence leading junior  
Description: T was charged with the murder of a co-worker in a fast food restaurant. Following a trial he was acquitted of murder.

Case Name: *R v Riley*  
Appearance: Defence leading junior  
Description: A high cost case. R was the accountant in a £50,000,000 VAT fraud. He was said to be responsible for continuing the fraud once he had left the employment of the other defendants. The trial was stopped following a successful abuse of process argument.

Case Name: *R v Dincer*  
Appearance: Defence leading junior  
Description: A high cost case. D was part of a "Turkish Mafia" gang operating in the Green Lanes area of London. They were responsible for robberies, firearms offences, witness intimidation and blackmail. There was a 9 month continuous surveillance operation by the police.

Case Name: *R v Edwards*  
Appearance: Defence  
Description: A double contract murder. E was acquitted of murder.

Case Name: *R v Blenman*  
Appearance: Defence  
Description: B charged with murder, as the main defendant, with others on the South Bank in London. The victim had escaped death following the bomb attack on a pub in Soho. Acquitted of murder.

Case Name: *R v Whitley*  
Appearance: Defence leading junior  
Description: W was part of a major heroin ring dealing in kilos. He stole over 20kgs from the main defendant. The principal evidence came from a super-grass and also an undercover police officer who spoke Romany.